Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/772,457	NAKAMURA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William T. Leader	1742
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>William T. Leader</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Derek S. Jessen</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 29 January 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: 1, 6		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Discussed changes to place the application in condition for allow follow-up telephone call on February 6, 2004. See the Examiner	ance. These changes were appr 's Amendment.	oved by Mr. Jessen during a
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(A ::	/Applicant's Danier - taking C	ignature if appropriate)
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – ir appropriate)